


Alternatives A & B Comparison



- Alternative A impacts more land than Alternative B.
- Alternative A impacts the golf course and Alternative B does not.
- Alternative A requires more new pavement than Alternative B. More pavement increases the potential impact to water quality due to additional storm water runoff.
- Alternative A impacts 1.5 times more wetlands than Alternative B.
- Alternative A crosses a perennial stream (Cool Creek) while Alternative B does not.
- Alternative A results in a larger wildlife barrier and greater wildlife habitat fragmentation than Alternative B.
- Alternative A has greater visual impacts than Alternative B since it involves a longer roadway and is visible to more residences.
- Alternative A has more secondary and cumulative impacts than Alternative B. Potential secondary impacts include the disruption of neighborhood continuity and the loss of more agricultural land due to residential development. A potential cumulative impact includes a future extension of Galena Street to Exeter Road.
- The Council "Citizens' Work Group Report" indicated that Alternative B is preferred when compared to Alternative A.

